

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 TOMAS SARINANA, JR.,  
12 Petitioner,  
13 v.  
14 ROBERT LUNA, et al.,  
15 Respondents.

Case No. 2:23-cv-10922-VBF-SHK

## ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, the  
19 relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the  
20 United States Magistrate Judge. No objections have been filed. However,  
21 Petitioner Tomas Sarinana, Jr. (“Petitioner”), filed two identical motions “To  
22 Award Writ Or Direct Respondent A [sic] Order to Show Cause Why Th[e] Writ  
23 Should Not Be Granted” (“Motions”) after the R&R was issued. See Electronic  
24 Case Filing Numbers (“ECF Nos.”) 13, 14, Mots. The Motions, however, do not  
25 address the findings and recommendations in the R&R. Thus, the Court does not  
26 construe the Motions as objections to the R&R. The Court accepts the findings  
27 and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

28 | //

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

2 1) The Petition is **DENIED**;

3 2) The Motions [ECF Nos. 13, 14] are **DENIED** as moot; and

4 3) Judgment be entered **DISMISSING** this action without prejudice.

5  
6 Dated: September 19, 2024 /s/ Valerie Baker Fairbank

7 HON. VALERIE BAKER FAIRBANK  
8 United States District Judge

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28